

June 12, 2001

Mr. John Steiner
Division Chief
City of Austin - Law Department
P.O. Box 1546
Austin, Texas 78767-1546

OR2001-2462

Dear Mr. Steiner:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 148282.

The City of Austin (the "city") received a written request for various records pertaining to the "Bradley Agreement." You state that the city has released most of the requested information. You contend, however, that one responsive document is excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to sections 552.101, 552.107(1), and 552.111 of the Government Code.

The document you seek to withhold constitutes a communication from a member of the public to a city council member, the council member's draft response submitted to the city attorney and mayor, and the city attorney's and mayor's comments on the draft response.

Section 552.107(1) of the Government Code protects information coming within the attorney-client privilege. In instances where an attorney represents a governmental entity, the attorney-client privilege protects only an attorney's legal advice or opinion and client confidences. *See* Open Records Decision No. 574 (1990). After reviewing the document you submitted to our office, we conclude that most of information constitutes either a client confidence or legal advice and opinion. Accordingly, the city may withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.107(1) of the Government Code.

The remaining information at issue consists of the e-mail communication from the citizen to the city council member. This communication does not come under the protection of section 552.107(1) of any of the other exceptions you raised. Consequently, the city must release the portion of the document at issue that reveals the citizen's e-mail to the city council member.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Stephen P. Agah

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

SPA/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 148282

Encl. Submitted documents

Mr. Bill Bunch cc:

Save Our Springs Alliance

P.O. Box 684881 Austin, Texas 78768

(w/o enclosures)